

Open source development and VLE procurement

MMU, 30 June 2009

Gabriel Hanganu

info@oss-watch.ac.uk

http://www.oss-watch.ac.uk

Unless otherwise indicated, all materials included are © 2009 University of Oxford licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 2.0 England & Wales licence



Overview

- OSS Watch
- Open source software
- Open source and UK HE
- Procuring open source VLE





OSS Watch

- JISC funded open source software advisory service (non-advocacy)
- Online and f2f support
 - Licensing and IPR management
 - Open source project support
 - Evaluating and using open source
 - Business Models



JISC

What we do

- Advice on all things open source
 - Procurement, engagement, development, legal
- Services
 - Consultancy, events, presentations
- Publications
 - Briefing notes, surveys, case studies, slides
 - http://www.oss-watch.ac.uk/resources/

What we don't do

- Advocacy
- Legal Advice (but we do give advice)
- Teaching programming
- Develop software for others
- Recommend specific software







My role

- social anthropologist
- fieldwork-based study of distributed communities
- my focus: community building in open source for sustainability





What is open source

- It's very simple the licence is what determines whether software is open source
- The licence must be approved by the Open Source Initiative (www.opensource.org)
- All approved licences meet their Open Source Definition (www.opensource.org/docs/definition.php)
- Approved licences >50 and include the GPL, LGPL, MPL and BSD.

And in practical terms

Open source means:

- The source code is available to the end-user
- The source code can be modified by the end-user
- The licensing conditions promote re-use and wide availability of the software
- The cost of acquisition to the end-user is often minimal





Open source vs. free software

- Open source software is not the same as free software but there is a large overlap
 - ``Open source is a development methodology; free software is a social movement.''
- The Free software Foundation maintains a Free Software Definition (www.fsf.org)







More than a licence

- "The real value of open source software is that it allows communities to work together and solve problems" [7] I. Wladawsky-Berger, IBM
- Open development to build sustainable communities





SS Watch Open Development Documentation Support Strategy Bugs Contribute Use Manage Evangelism Legal Oversight Design Code Requirements

Myth: beard and sandals

Do I need to be a hacker to use oss?

 No. It's a popular myth that open source is only for hackers.

But is open source really in the mainstream?

 Yes. Apache HTTPd, an open source product, is the most widely used web server.

Many organizations from governments to commercial companies rely on open source software every day.







Myth: free (as in beer)

- There are costs (staff, support, training), but you can save money
- InfoWorld [1]:
 - 50% companies deploying more than 25 open source products report greater than 20% savings in IT budget
 - 1% companies report costs went up



Myth: no support

- Oracle, IBM, Sun, HP, Red Hat and many others will sell you support contracts
- Many smaller companies
- Anyone can get the source, anyone can provide support





Myth: not "commercial"

- Worldwide revenue \$1.8bn in 2006 rising to \$5.8bn in 2011 [5] IDC report
- Mozilla Foundation & Corporation: \$76M revenue in 2007
- MySQL sold for \$1Bn
- ZenSource sold for \$500M





Market reality

- "Open source is the most significant all encompassing and long-term trend that the software industry has seen since the early 1980s" [5] IDC report
- "By 2012, more than 90 percent of enterprises will use open source in direct or embedded forms" [6] Gartner report





Official guidelines

- UK Government policy: consider OSS solutions alongside proprietary ones in IT procurement. Contracts will be awarded on a value for money basis [2]
- European Commission: similar policy [3]
- **JISC:** open source is the default option for development work, projects must not discriminate between open and closed source software [4]





National survey 2008

- ICT Directors from
 - 454 FE/FE+HE institutions
 - 161 HE institutions
- 19% response rate





Policy vs. practice

- Policy
 - OSS mentioned: 30% (2006), 57% (2008)
 - staff contributions regulated only in 14%
- Practice
 - OSS considered: 77% (2006), 87% (2008)
 - only 15% alongside closed source





The trend is open source

- Server software:
 - All or almost all closed source
 - Down from 56% to 40%
 - Half open and half closed source
 - Planned to increase from 3% to 11%
- Similar trends on desktops





Evaluating software and providers

- Closed source evaluation looks as the provider and the software as a single entity
- You can't see the development methodology

Open source evaluation separates these factors

- Software functionality
- User engagement and the development model
- Paid for support providers

Evaluation frameworks

- Open Source Maturity Model (OSMM)
 [Capgemini, Navica]
- Qualification and Selection of Open Source software (QSOS)
- Open Business Readiness Rating (Open BRR)





What to evaluate

	OSMM (Capgemini	OSMM (Navica)	QSOS	OBR R
Software (non-technical)	X	Χ	X	Χ
Software (technical)			Χ	X
Integration		X	X	X
User support	X	Χ	Χ	X
Adoption	X		X	X
Development Process	X		Χ	X
Documentation		Χ	X	X
Professional Services		X	Χ	X
Training		X	X	X





Evaluation process

- All frameworks follow roughly the same approach
- Assess against criteria
- Apply weightings
- Calculate overall product score
- The process of evaluation encourages one to evaluate the parts of a project unreachable in closed source





Adopting an OS VLE

- Delhi Institute of Technology experience [8]
- Research and select new system
- Prescribed timeline
- Migrate courses
- Train users
- Develop documentation







Requirements gathering

- Match functions of current VLE, e.g.
 - upload and store media files
 - online quizzes, discussions, etc.
- Integration for course setup and user enrollment



JISC

Total Cost of Ownership

3 year cost	A Hosted	A Local		
License Year 1	\$12,169	\$12,169		
License Year 2	\$12,169	\$12,169		
License Year 3	\$12,169	\$12,169		
Membership	\$15,000	n/a		
Banner Connector	\$9,000	\$9,000		
Server	n/a	\$10,000		
Support Softw	n/a	IIS, SQLserver		
Init. Setup Fee	n/a	n/a		
Remote Hosting (3 years)	\$23,898	n/a		
Supp. Staff: Sys Admin (3 yrs)	\$19,227*	n/a*		
Supp. Staff: Developer (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*		
Supp. Staff: MID (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*		
Supp. Staff: Training (3 yrs)		n/a*		
Supp. Staff: Help Desk (3 yrs) ;19227 + Delhi Staff				

Total TCO Rating

\$142,086

\$45,507

1



1150

Total Cost of Ownership

3 year cost	S Hosted	S Local
License Year 1	\$0	\$0
License Year 2	\$0	\$0
License Year 3	\$0	\$0
Membership	\$15,000	\$15,000
Banner Connector	?	?
Server	n/a	\$10,000
Support Softw	n/a	IIS, MySQL
Init. Setup Fee	n/a	n/a
Remote Hosting (3 years)	?	n/a
Supp. Staff: Sys Admin (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: Developer (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: MID (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: Training (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: Help Desk (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*



Total \$15,000 TCO Rating 4

\$25,000

5

Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Share-Alike: Clark Shah-Nelson, 2008.

Total Cost of Ownership

3 year cost	M Hosted	
License Year 1	\$0	\$0
License Year 2	\$0	\$0
License Year 3	\$0	\$0
Membership	\$0	\$0
Banner Connector	\$903	\$903
Server	n/a	\$10,000
Support Softw	n/a	IIS, MySQL
Init. Setup Fee	n/a	n/a
Remote Hosting (3 years)	\$9,000	n/a
Supp. Staff: Sys Admin (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: Developer (3 yrs) n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: MID (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: Training (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*
Supp. Staff: Help Desk (3 yrs)	n/a*	n/a*

TotalTCO Rating

\$9,903

8

\$10,903

9

1150

Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Share-Alike: Clark Shah-Nelson, 2008.

Open vs. close source

- Rich community of users: forums, tutorials, resources, bug tracker
- Less cost at all levels
- Just as stable and more feature-rich
 - More social networking capability
 - Quicker to gain new features
- More customizable





Conclusions

- OS is more than a licence: a development methodology
- OSS is a serious alternative to proprietary software
- OSS can provide cost saving and sustainability if properly managed
 - OSS Watch are here to help





- www.oss-watch.ac.uk
- info@oss-watch.ac.uk



JISC

References

- [1] InfoWorld. Open Source Management: Trends, Requirements and Future Needs for the Open Source Enterprise. White paper. Downloaded 28 Oct 2008.
 - http://www.infoworld.com/pdf/whitepaper/InfoWorld_Open_Source _Management.pdf
- [2] e-Government Unit, Cabinet Office. Open source software, Use within UK Government. 28 Oct 2004.
 - http://www.govtalk.gov.uk/documents/oss_policy_version2.pdf
- [3] S. Kallas. *Answer given by Mr Kallas on behalf of the Commission*. Parliamentary Questions. 17 Sep 2008. http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sides/getAllAnswers.
- [4] JISC. Policy on open source software for JISC projects and services. Last revision 24 Jan 2005.



References

- [5] A.C. Picardi et al. *Open Source in Global Software: Market Impact, Disruption, and Business Models. IDC report. Jul 2006.* http://www.idc.com/research/viewtoc.jsp?containerId=202511
- [6] Y.V. Natis et al. *The State of Open Source, 2008. Gartner Report.* Apr 2008.
 - http://www.gartner.com/DisplayDocument?id=638643
- [7] I. Wladawsky-Berger, Head of IBM's e-Business on demand initiative. *Keynote address at OSBC 2005.*
 - http://itc.conversationsnetwork.org/shows/detail495.html
- [8] Clark Shah-Nelson, *Open Source LMS*, Nercomp'08 conference http://blogs.nercomp.org/blogs/nac2008/category/open-source-lms/